arXiv:1607.04581v2 [math.AG] 18 Jul 2016

On the local monodromy of A–hypergeometric functions and some monodromy invariant subspaces.

María-Cruz Fernández-Fernández*

Abstract

We study the local monodromy of A–hypergeometric functions with respect to small loops around a coordinate hyperplane $x_i = 0$ for nonresonant parameters. In particular we obtain a formula for the characteristic polynomial of this local monodromy which is similar to the one obtained by Ando, Esterov and Takeuchi for the monodromy at infinity. Our proof is combinatorial and can be adapted to provide an alternative proof for the latter formula. We also characterize when the solution space of an A–hypergeometric D–module at a nonsingular point has a nontrivial monodromy invariant subspace.

1 Introduction

Gel'fand, Graev, Kapranov and Zelevinsky started the study of A–hypergeometric systems in [GGZ87] and [GKZ89]. These are systems of linear partial differential equations determined by a full rank matrix $A = (a_1 \cdots a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d\times n}$, $d \leq n$, and a parameter vector $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$. More precisely, let $H_A(\beta)$ be the left ideal of the Weyl algebra $D = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]\langle\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n\rangle$ generated by the following set of differential operators:

$$\Box_u := \partial^{u_+} - \partial^{u_-} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{Z}^n,\ Au = 0 \tag{1}$$

where $u = u_+ - u_-$ and $u_+, u_- \in \mathbb{N}^n$ have disjoint supports, and

$$E_i - \beta_i := \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j\partial_j - \beta_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, d. \tag{2}$$

The A–hypergeometric D–module with parameter β is $M_A(\beta) = D/DH_A(\beta)$. One can also consider its analytification version $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta) = \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}H_A(\beta)$, where $\mathcal{D}$ denotes the sheaf of linear partial differential operators with holomorphic coefficients. Such a $\mathcal{D}$–module was proven to be holonomic in [GKZ89] and [Ado94]. Moreover, if β is nonresonant for A (i.e., the boundary of $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}a_i$ does not contain any point of $\beta + \mathbb{Z}^d$) they also proved that the rank of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ equals the normalized volume of A (see (6)). The exact set of parameters for which this is true was given in [MMW05]. It is also well known that the A–hypergeometric system is regular holonomic if and only

*Supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad MTM2013-40455-P, Feder and P12-FQM-2696.

if the $\mathbb{Q}$–rowspan of A contains the vector $(1,1,\ldots,1)$ (see [Hot98, Section 6],[SST00, Theorem 2.4.11],[SW08, Corollary 3.16]).

One fundamental open problem in this setting is to understand the monodromy of the solutions of a general A–hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$–module. By [GKZ90, Thm 2.11] if $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is nonresonant and $M_A(\beta)$ is regular holonomic then the monodromy representation of its solutions is irreducible. Adolphson conjectured this fact to be true also in the irregular case (see the comment in [Ado94] after Corollary 5.20), but we will see in this note that this is not the case (see Theorem 5.2). On the other hand, it was proved in [Sai11] that $M_A(\beta)$ is an irreducible D–module if and only if β is nonresonant. Independently, in [SW12] the set of parameters β for which $\mathbb{C}(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M_A(\beta)$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}(x)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]}D$–module is characterized, generalizing [Beu11]. It was previously proven in [Wal07] that the irreducibility of $\mathbb{C}(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M_A(\beta)$ depends only on the equivalence class of $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}A := \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}a_j$. Let us point out that M being an irreducible D–module implies $\mathbb{C}(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} M$ being an irreducible $\mathbb{C}(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[x]} D$–module. Moreover, for a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$–module $\mathcal{M}$, it is equivalent to say that $\mathcal{M}$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{D}$–module and that its solution sheaf complex $\mathbb{R}Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{O})$ is an irreducible perverse sheaf by the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence of Kashiwara [Kas84] and Mebkhout [Meb84].

In some special cases of regular A–hypergeometric systems Beukers provided a method to compute the monodromy group of the solutions of $M_A(\beta)$ [Beu14]. Monodromy of regular bivariate hypergeometric systems of Horn type is also investigated in [ST13]. On the other hand, in [Tak10] and [AET15] the authors provide a formula for the characteristic polynomial of the local monodromy at infinity of the A–hypergeometric functions with nonresonant parameters, that is, with respect to large enough loops around $x_j = 0$ for $j = 1,\ldots,n$. The proof of this result in [AET15] is based on the use of rapid decay homology cycles constructed in [ET15]. The main purpose of this note is to obtain a similar formula for the corresponding local monodromy with respect to small enough loops around $x_j = 0$. To this end, we first characterize in Section 2 those regular triangulations of A that yield a basis of convergent Γ–series solutions of the A–hypergeometric system in a common open set containing this type of loops. We will see that they correspond to refinements of a particular regular polyhedral subdivision of A. In Section 3 we recall the construction of Γ–series solutions of A–hypergeometic systems, introduced in [GKZ89]. In Section 4 we obtain the formula for the characteristic polynomial of the local monodromy around $x_j = 0$ which depends only on this polyhedral subdivision. Our proof can be easily adapted to obtain the monodromy at infinity (see Remark 4.4), providing a simpler proof of the main result in [AET15]. In Section 5, we describe some global monodromy invariant subspaces. In particular, in many cases of irregular A–hypergeometic D–modules their solution spaces have a proper monodromy invariant subspace for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$. We characterize when the solution space of $M_A(\beta)$ at a nonsingular point has reducible (global) monodromy representation, i.e., has a nontrivial monodromy invariant subspace. Moreover, we obtain that for certain family of irregular A–hypergeometric systems its solutions space at a nonsingular point is a direct sum of one–dimensional monodromy invariant subspaces, despite the fact that $M_A(\beta)$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{D}$–module when β is nonresonant.

2 On certain regular triangulations of A.

In this section we recall the definition of regular triangulation of a matrix $A = (a_1 \cdots a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d\times n}$, consider certain open sets in $\mathbb{C}^n$ associated to them and determine those regular triangulations that will be useful for some local monodromy computations.

For any set $\tau \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}$ let Δ_τ be the convex hull of $\{a_i : \ i \in \tau\} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. In order to simplify notation, we shall identify τ with the set $\{a_i : \ i \in \tau\}$ and with the corresponding submatrix of A. Let us denote $\mathbb{Z}\tau = \mathbb{Z}A_\tau = \sum_{i\in\tau} \mathbb{Z}a_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\mathrm{pos}(\tau) := \sum_{i\in\tau} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} a_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. We will also denote $\overline{\tau} = \{1,\ldots,n\} \setminus \tau$.

We will assume for simplicity that $\mathbb{Z}A = \mathbb{Z}^d$ throughout this paper.

A vector $\omega = (\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ defines an abstract polyhedral complex T_ω with vertices in $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ as follows: $\tau \in \mathrm{T}_\omega$ if there exists a vector $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\langle \mathbf{c}, a_j\rangle = \omega_j \text{ for all } j \in \tau \tag{3}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{c}, a_j\rangle < \omega_j \text{ for all } j \notin \tau. \tag{4}$$

We will say that ω is a weight vector and that T_ω is a regular subdivision of A if $\mathrm{pos}(A) = \cup_{\tau\in T_\omega} \mathrm{pos}(\tau)$. This is always the case if either $\omega_i > 0$ for all $i = 1,\ldots,n$ or A is pointed (i.e. the intersection of $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ with the $\mathbb{Q}$-rowspan of A is nonempty). If T is any regular subdivision of A then the set $C(T) = \{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ T = T_\omega\}$ is a convex polyhedral cone. The closures of these cones form the socalled secondary fan of A, introduced and studied by Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky [GKZ94] (see also [Stu95]).

Remark 2.1. *If we take $\omega = (1,\ldots,1)$ then T_ω is the set of facets of Δ_A that do not contain the origin. Let us denote $\Gamma_A := T_\omega$ in this case.*

Definition 2.2. *A weight vector $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be generic if T_ω is an abstract simplicial complex. In this case, T_ω is called a regular triangulation of A.*

Remark 2.3. *A weight vector ω defines a regular triangulation T_ω if and only if $C(T_\omega)$ is a full-dimensional cone in the secondary fan of A. On the other hand, a vector ω' belongs to the closure of the cone $C(T_\omega)$ if and only if T_ω is a refinement of $T_{\omega'}$.*

A set $\sigma \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}$ is called a simplex if the columns of A_σ form a basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$. For any simplex σ we set

$$U_\sigma(R) := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : \ |x_j| < R|x_\sigma^{A_\sigma^{-1}a_j}|, \ \forall j \notin \sigma \text{ such that } |A_\sigma^{-1}a_j| = 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \tag{5}$$

where $R > 0$, x_σ denotes the monomomial $\prod_{j\in\sigma} x_j$ and for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote by $|b|$ the sum of the coordinates of b.

Remark 2.4. *It is well known that for any $R > 0$ the open set $U_T(R) := \cap_{\sigma\in T} U_\sigma(R)$ is not empty for any regular triangulation T of A.*

Notation 2.5. *Let us denote $\omega_0 = \omega_0(\varepsilon) := (1,\ldots,1) + \varepsilon(0,\ldots,0,1)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that we have the equality of polyhedral subsdivisions $T_{w_0(\varepsilon')} = T_{\omega_0(\varepsilon)}$ for all $\varepsilon' \in (0,\varepsilon)$. We will also denote by T_0 this regular subdivision.*

Remark 2.6. *If a_n is not a vertex of Δ_A then $T_0 = \{\tau \setminus \{n\} : \tau \in \Gamma_A\}$. Let us assume that a_n is a vertex of Δ_A. If $a_n \notin \tau \in \Gamma_A$ then $\tau \in T_0$. If $a_n \in \tau \in \Gamma_A$, let τ' be the convex hull of all the columns of A in τ but a_n. Then $\tau' \in T_0$. The rest of facets of T_0 are of the form $\Gamma \cup \{a_n\} \in T_0$ for any facet Γ of τ' that is not contained in a facet of τ (see Figure 1 in Example 4.5).*

The following lemma is a key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 2.7. *Let T be a regular triangulation of A that refines Γ_A. The following conditions are equivalent:*

i) ω_0 belongs to the closure of the cone $C(T)$.

ii) T refines the polyhedral subdivision T_0.

iii) $U_T \cap \{x_n = 0\} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. The equivalence of i) and ii) is just a particular case of Remark 2.3. Let us prove first ii)⇒ iii). Since T is a regular triangulation that refines T_0, there exists $\omega' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $T = T_\omega$ with $\omega = \omega_0(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^2\omega'$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. We have that $U_T \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is a nonempty open set. Thus, we can choose $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in U_T$ such that $p_j \neq 0$ for $1 \leq j < n$. Let us prove that $\pi_n(p) := (p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}, 0) \in U_T$. Since $p \in U_T$ it is clear that $\pi_n(p)$ satisfies all the inequations in (5) that do not depend on x_n. Obviously, it also satisfies all the inequations of the form $|x_n| < R|x_\sigma^{A_\sigma^{-1}a_n}|$. Thus we only need to check that $\pi_n(p)$ satisfies the inequations $|x_j| < R|x_\sigma^{A_\sigma^{-1}a_j}|$ for all $j \notin \sigma$ whenever $n \in \sigma \in T$ and $|A_\sigma^{-1}a_j| = 1$. Is is enough to show that the last coordinate of $A_\sigma^{-1}a_j$ is either zero or negative in this case. Since $\sigma \in T$ there exists $\tau \in T_0$ such that $\sigma \subseteq \tau$. Thus, there exists a vector $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying (3) and (4) for the vector ω_0. Since σ is a simplex we obtain from (3) that $\mathbf{c} = (1, \ldots, 1, 1+\varepsilon)A_\sigma^{-1}$. Thus, by (4) we have that $(1, \ldots, 1, 1+\varepsilon)A_\sigma^{-1}a_j \leq 1$ for all $j \notin \sigma$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. For all $j \notin \sigma$ such that $|A_\sigma^{-1}a_j| = 1$, this implies that the last coordinate of $A_\sigma^{-1}a_j$ is either zero or negative. As a consequence, $\pi_n(p) \in U_T$.

Let us prove now iii)⇒i). Since $C(T)$ is a convex cone, it is enough to show that if $\omega \in C(T)$ then $\omega + \omega_0 \in C(T)$. Notice that $\omega \in C(T)$ if and only if $T = T_\omega$ and from (3) and (4) this holds exactly when $\omega_\sigma A_\sigma^{-1}a_j < \omega_j$ for all $j \notin \sigma$ and for all $\sigma \in T$. Since T refines Γ_A, we have $|A_\sigma^{-1}a_j| \leq 1$ for all $j \notin \sigma$, $\forall \sigma \in T$. Since $U_T \cap \{x_n = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ we have that for all $\sigma \in T$, $\forall j \notin \sigma$ such that $|A_\sigma^{-1}a_j| = 1$ then the last coordinate of $A_\sigma^{-1}a_j$ must be nonpositive if $n \in \sigma$. Hence, we have $(\omega_0)_\sigma A_\sigma^{-1}a_j \leq 1 \leq \omega_{0,j}$ for all $j \notin \sigma$ and thus $(\omega + \omega_0)_\sigma A_\sigma^{-1}a_j < \omega_j + \omega_{0,j}$ for all $j \notin \sigma$ and for all $\sigma \in T$. This implies that $\omega + \omega_0 \in C(T)$. □

3 Γ–series solutions of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$

For any set $\tau \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we recall that the normalized volume of τ (with respect to the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$) is given by:

$$\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\tau) = d!\,\mathrm{vol}(\Delta_\tau) \tag{6}$$

where $\mathrm{vol}(\Delta_\tau)$ denotes the Euclidean volume of Δ_τ. If $\sigma \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a simplex, the normalized volume of σ with respect to $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is equal to $[\mathbb{Z}^d : \mathbb{Z}A_\sigma] = |\det(A_\sigma)|$.

For $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $Av = \beta$ the Γ–series defined in [GKZ89]:

$$\varphi_v := \sum_{u \in L_A} \frac{1}{\Gamma(v+u+1)} x^{v+u}$$

is formally annihilated by the differential operators (1) and (2). Here Γ is the Euler Gamma function and $L_A := \ker(A) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. These series were used in [GKZ89] in order to construct bases of holomorphic solutions of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ in the case when all the columns of A belong to the same hyperplane, but they can be used in the general case too (see for example [OT09], [Fer10], [DMM12]).

Let $v_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be the vector satisfying $Av_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}} = \beta$ and $(v_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}})_j = k_j$ for $j \notin \sigma$, where $\mathbf{k} = (k_i)_{i \notin \sigma} \in \mathbb{N}^{\overline{\sigma}}$.

We consider the series:

$$\phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}} := \varphi_{v_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}}} = x_\sigma^{A_\sigma^{-1}\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m} \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{x_\sigma^{-A_\sigma^{-1}(\sum_{i \notin \sigma}(k_i+m_i)a_i)} x_{\overline{\sigma}}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}}{\Gamma(A_\sigma^{-1}(\beta - \sum_{i \notin \sigma}(k_i+m_i)a_i) + \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m})!}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}} := \{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m} = (k_i+m_i)_{i \in \overline{\sigma}} \in \mathbb{N}^{n-d} : \sum_{i \in \overline{\sigma}} a_i m_i \in \mathbb{Z}A_\sigma\}.$$

Notice that $\phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}}$ is zero if and only if for all $\mathbf{m} \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$, $A_\sigma^{-1}(\beta - \sum_{i \notin \sigma}(k_i+m_i)a_i)$ has at least one negative integer coordinate.

Let $\Omega_\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\overline{\sigma}}$ be a set of representatives for the different classes with respect to the following equivalence relation in $\mathbb{N}^{\overline{\sigma}}$: we say that $\mathbf{k} \sim \mathbf{k}'$ if and only if $A_{\overline{\sigma}}\mathbf{k} - A_{\overline{\sigma}}\mathbf{k}' \in \mathbb{Z}A_\sigma$. Thus, Ω_σ is a set of cardinality $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\sigma)$.

Given a regular triangulation T of A we will say that β is very generic if $A_\sigma^{-1}(\beta - \sum_{i \notin \sigma} k_i a_i)$ does not have any integer coordinate for all $\sigma \in T$ and for all $\mathbf{k} \in \Omega_\sigma$. Thus, very generic parameter vectors β lie in the complement of a countable union of hyperplanes.

If $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is very generic, the series $\phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}}$ is convergent if and only if σ is contained in a facet of Γ_A (see for example [Fer10, Corollary 3.9.]). In this case, it is convergent in $U_\sigma(R) \cap ((\mathbb{C}^*)^\sigma \times \mathbb{C}^{\overline{\sigma}})$ for some $R > 0$. Moreover, we have the following result which is a slightly modified version of [OT09, Theorem 2] (this version can also be seen as the particular case $\tau = A$ in [Fer10, Section 6.2] by substituting "Gevrey series along Y_τ" by "convergent series"). The fact that our open set $U_T(R)$ is defined by less restrictions than the ones used in [OT09] is important for the results in Section 5.

Theorem 3.1. *If T is a regular triangulation of A that refines Γ_A and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is very generic, the set $\{\phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}} : \sigma \in T, \mathbf{k} \in \Omega_\sigma\}$ is a basis of holomorphic solutions of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ in the open set $U_T(R) \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ for some $R > 0$.*

4 Local monodromy computation

The hyperplane $x_n = 0$ is contained in the singular locus of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ if and only if a_n is not a vertex of the polytope Δ_A. Thus, since we want to study the local monodromy around $x_n = 0$ we may assume from now on that a_n is a vertex of Δ_A. Let us consider

a complex line $\mathbb{L}_c := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : \ x_j = c_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq n-1\}$ with $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that $\mathbb{L}_c$ intersects the singular locus S of $M_A(\beta)$ in at most a finite number of points. We consider the loop $\gamma_{\varepsilon,c}$ parametrized by $x_j = c_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $x_n = \varepsilon e^{2\pi i\theta}$, $\theta \in [0,1]$, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that $\mathbb{L}_c \cap S \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{L}_c : \ |x_n| > \varepsilon\}$. Then, it is well known that the characteristic polynomial of the local monodromy of the solutions of a holonomic D–module with singular locus S around this loop does not depend on c or ε chosen as above.

Let us set some more notation in order to state our main result. We consider the regular subdivision T_0 (see Notation 2.5) and the set

$$\Sigma = \{\tau \in T_0 : \ n \in \tau\}.$$

Each $\tau \in \Sigma$ is of the form $\Gamma(\tau) \cup \{n\}$ for some $(d-2)$-dimensional face $\Gamma(\tau)$ of T_0 by Remark 2.6. Let $\rho(\tau)$ be the primitive inner conormal vector of the facet $\Delta_{\Gamma(\tau)}$ of the polytope Δ_τ and set $h(\tau) = \langle \rho(\tau), a_n \rangle > 0$.

We obtain the following theorem for the local monodromy around $x_n = 0$, which reminds to the main theorem in [AET15] for the local monodromy around $x_n = \infty$.

Theorem 4.1. *If the parameter vector $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is nonresonant then the characteristic polynomial of the local monodromy of the solutions of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ around $x_n = 0$ is*

$$\lambda_0(z) = (z-1)^{\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(A) - \sum_{\tau\in\Sigma} \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\tau)} \prod_{\tau\in\Sigma} (z^{h(\tau)} - e^{2\pi i \langle \rho(\tau), \beta\rangle})^{\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\tau)/h(\tau)}$$

Proof. Let T be any regular triangulation of A that refines T_0 and assume first that $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is very generic. By Lemma 2.7, the open set U_T intersects the hyperplane $x_n = 0$. Choose any point $(c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}, c_n) \in U_T \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ and $0 < \varepsilon < |c_n|$, then the loop $\gamma_{c,\varepsilon}$ is contained in $U_T \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. On the other hand, from Theorem 3.1 there is a fundamental set of $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(A)$ many (multivalued) holomorphic solutions that can be written as Γ–series in the open set $U_T \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. By analytic continuation along $\gamma_{\varepsilon,c}$, each series $\phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}}$ is transformed into $e^{2\pi i (v_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}})_n} \phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}}$. Hence, we just need to show that the roots of the polynomial $\lambda_0(z)$ are exactly $\{e^{2\pi i (v_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}})_n} : \ \sigma \in T, \mathbf{k} \in \Omega_\sigma\}$ where each root is repited as many times as its multiplicity. Notice that both the degree of λ_0 and the cardinality of the pairs $(\sigma, \mathbf{k})$ are equal to $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(A)$.

Indeed, for all $\tau \in T_0 \setminus \Sigma$ and for all $\sigma \in T$ with $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ we have $(v_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}})_n = k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and thus $e^{2\pi i (v_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}})_n} = 1$. This corresponds with the factor $(z-1)^{\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(A) - \sum_{\tau\in\Sigma} \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\tau)}$ of λ_0. Let us consider now $\tau \in \Sigma$ and $\sigma \in T$ with $n \in \sigma \subseteq \tau$. Let $\alpha > 0$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\alpha e_d A_\sigma^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ where $e_d = (0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. It is clear that $\rho(\tau) = \alpha e_d A_\sigma^{-1}$ and that $h(\tau) = \alpha$. On the other hand, we have $(v_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}})_n = e_d A_\sigma^{-1}(\beta - A_{\overline{\sigma}}\mathbf{k}) = \dfrac{1}{h(\tau)} \langle \rho(\tau), \beta - A_{\overline{\sigma}}\mathbf{k} \rangle$. Since the primitive vector $\rho(\tau)$ induces a surjective morphism of abelian groups from $\mathbb{Z}^d/\mathbb{Z}A_\sigma$ to $\mathbb{Z}/h(\tau)\mathbb{Z}$ we have that its kernel has cardinality equal to $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\sigma)/h(\tau)$. Since $\sum_{\tau \supseteq \sigma \in T} \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\sigma) = \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\tau)$ we get the result for very generic parameters. On the other hand, from the proof of Corollary [AET15, Corollary 3.3.] (which does not use rapid decay cycles of [ET15]) we have that the local monodromy matrix depends holomorphically on nonresonant parameters. □

Remark 4.2. *By [DMM12], running the canonical series algorithm introduced in [SST00, Chapter 2.5.] for a weight vector ω such that $(1,\dots,1) \in C(T_\omega)$ produces a basis of convergent series solutions of $M_A(\beta)$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$. This holds in particular if $\omega_0 \in C(T_\omega)$ and in this case these series are convergent in the open set U_{T_ω}. Thus, by Lemma 2.7, one could use these series in order to compute the characteristic polynomial $\lambda_0(z)$ for any β.*

Remark 4.3. *In [RSW15] the authors compute an upper bound for the set of roots of the b–function of $M_A(\beta)$ for the restriction at $x_j = 0$. In the case when $M_A(\beta)$ is regular holonomic, if α is a root of the b–function then $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ is a root of $\lambda_0(z)$, but in the irregular case the roots of this b–function might be related with monodromy of non convergent Γ–series instead. On the other hand, their description uses* quasidegrees *of certain* toric modules *instead of a polyhedral subdivision of A, so it does not seem obvious to compare their candidates to roots with the roots of $\lambda_0(z)$.*

Remark 4.4. *Consider the vector $\omega_\infty = (1,\dots,1,1-\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Take T_∞ to be the regular subdivision of A induced by $\omega_\infty(\varepsilon)$, then T_∞ is a refinement of Γ_A. If we substitute T_0 by T_∞, ω_0 by ω_∞ and consider loops of the form $\gamma_{c,R}$ with $R > 0$ big enough so that $\mathbb{L}_c \cap S \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{L}_c : |x_n| < R\}$ and with the opposite orientation, then for any regular triangulation that refines T_∞ we have that U_T contain loops of this type (the proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.7). With this modifications, the proof of Theorem 4.1 gives an alternative combinatorial proof of the main theorem in [AET15].*

Example 4.5. *Let us consider the matrix*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and a nonresonant parameter vector $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^3$.



Figure 1: Regular subdivisions T_0 (left) and T_∞ (right) of A.

The facets of the regular subdivision T_0 are $\tau_1 = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $\tau_2 = \{2,4,5\}$ and $\tau_3 = \{3,4,5\}$ (see Figure 1). We have that $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}A}(\tau_1) = 2$, $\Sigma = \{\tau_2, \tau_3\}$, $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}A}(\tau) = 1$

and $h(\tau) = 1$ *for* $\tau \in \Sigma$, $\rho(\tau_2) = (-1, 1, 0)$ *and* $\rho(\tau_3) = (-1, 0, 1)$. *The characteristic polynomial for the monodromy of the solutions of* $M_A(\beta)$ *around* $x_5 = 0$ *is given by*

$$\lambda_0(z) = (z-1)^2(z - e^{2\pi i(\beta_2-\beta_1)})(z - e^{2\pi i(\beta_3-\beta_1)}).$$

On the other hand, the facets of T_∞ *are* $\{1, 2, 5\}$ *and* $\{1, 3, 5\}$. *The characteristic polynomial* λ_∞ *for the monodromy around* $x_5 = \infty$ *is*

$$\lambda_\infty(z) = (z^2 - e^{-2\pi i\beta_2})(z^2 - e^{-2\pi i\beta_3}).$$

5 Monodromy invariant subspaces corresponding to facets of Γ_A.

From [BMW15, Theorem 4.1.] an A–hypergeometric function can only have singularities along the zero set of the product of the principal τ–determinants $\mathcal{E}_\tau = \mathcal{E}_{A_\tau} \in \mathbb{C}[x_\tau] := \mathbb{C}[x_j : \ j \in \tau]$ for τ varying between the different facets of Γ_A (see [GKZ94, Chapter 10, Equation 1.1] for the definition of $\mathcal{E}_A$). We provide the following refinement of this result:

Proposition 5.1. *If* τ *is a facet of* Γ_A *and* β *is not resonant then the space of holomorphic solutions of* $M_A(\beta)$ *at a non singular point has a monodromy invariant subspace* S_τ *of dimension* $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\tau)$ *that can only have singularities along the zero set of the principal* τ*–determinant* $\mathcal{E}_\tau$. *If* $T(\tau)$ *is any regular triangulation of* A_τ *and* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ *is very generic then we can take* S_τ *the space generated by* $\{\phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}} : \ \sigma \in T(\tau), \ \mathbf{k} \in \Omega_\sigma\}$.

Proof. From the proof of [AET15, Corollary 3.3.] we can assume that β is very generic. Observe that $(\cap_{\sigma\in T(\tau)} U_\sigma) \cap (\mathbb{C}^{\overline{\tau}} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^\tau) = \mathbb{C}^{\overline{\tau}} \times U$ for some open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\tau$. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an irreducible factor of $\prod_{\tau'\in\Gamma_A} \mathcal{E}_{\tau'}$ such that f does not divide $\mathcal{E}_\tau$. Since $f \notin \mathbb{C}[x_\tau]$ we have that $\mathbb{C}^{\overline{\tau}} \times U$ contains a nonempty relatively open subset of the hypersurface $f = 0$. On the other hand, all the Γ–series that we are considering here are convergent in $\mathbb{C}^{\overline{\tau}} \times U$. Thus, they don't have a singularity along the zero set of f. Notice also that $\phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}}$ has singularities at least around each hyperplane $x_j = 0$ for $j \in \sigma \in T(\tau)$. Thus, the analytic continuation of $\phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{k}}$ along any loop not containing singular points belong to the subspace S_τ because analytic continuation around loops do not change the set of singularities of a multivalued function. □

Let F be a minimal set of columns of A such that $\mathrm{pos}(F)$ is a face of $\mathrm{pos}(A)$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}F) + \mathrm{card}(\overline{F}) = d$. Then, by Lemma 3.7. (10) in [SW12] it is equivalent to study the solutions of $M_A(\beta)$ and the solutions of $M_F(\beta_F)$ where $\beta = \beta_F + \beta_{\overline{F}}$ and $\beta_F \in \mathbb{C}F$ is unique.

Theorem 5.2. *The solution space of* $M_A(\beta)$ *at any nonsingular point has reducible monodromy representation if and only if at least one of the following conditions holds:*

i) $\beta_F \in \mathbb{C}^d$ *is resonant for* F.

ii) Γ_A *has at least two facets.*

iii) $\Gamma_A = \tau$ *is a facet and there exists* $a_i \in \Delta_A \setminus \Gamma_A$ *such that* $a_i \notin \sum_{i\in\tau} \mathbb{Z}a_i$.

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that $F = A$ in order to simplify the proof (otherwise everything would be written for β_F and F instead of β and A respectively). If β is resonant, then by the proof of [SW12, Theorem 4.1] we have that $M_A(\beta)$ has a quotient of positive rank smaller than $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(A)$. The solution space of this quotient D–module is a proper monodromy invariant subspace of the solution space of $M_A(\beta)$ at nonsingular points. Thus we can assume now that $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is nonresonant. If Γ_A has at least two facets and τ is one of them, then Proposition 5.1 guarantees the existence of a proper monodromy invariant subspace of dimension $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\tau) < \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(A)$. We can assume now that $\Gamma_A = \tau$ is a facet and that β is nonresonant. If $A = \tau$ then $M_A(\beta)$ is regular holonomic by [Hot98] and the solution space of $M_A(\beta)$ has irreducible monodromy representation for non resonant parameters by [GKZ90, 2.11 Theorem]. Again, we can assume β to be very generic by the proof [AET15, Corollary 3.3.] and that $\Gamma_A = \tau$ but $\exists a_i \in \Delta_A \setminus \Gamma_A$. By [Kas70, Theorem 2.3.1.], since the variety $Y_\tau = \{x_j = 0 : \forall j \notin \tau\}$ is non–characteristic for $M_A(\beta)$, the restriction of the solution sheaf of $M_A(\beta)$ to Y_τ is isomorphic to the solution sheaf of the D–module restriction of $M_A(\beta)$ to Y_τ. By [FW11, Theorem 2.1.] the restriction of $M_A(\beta)$ to Y_τ is isomorphic to $M_\tau(\beta)$ if $a_j \in \sum_{i\in\tau} \mathbb{Z}a_i$ for all $j \notin \tau$ or to a direct sum of several $M_\tau(\beta')$ for different values of β' in other case. Moreover, if we denote by $V(\varepsilon_\tau) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^\tau$ the zero set in $\mathbb{C}^\tau$ of the principal τ–determinant, it is clear that $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus (\mathbb{C}^{\overline{\tau}} \times V(\varepsilon_\tau)) \simeq \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^\tau \setminus V(\varepsilon_\tau))$. Thus, the solution sheaves of $M_A(\beta)$ and of its restriction to Y_τ will have the same monodromy behaviour and since $M_\tau(\beta)$ is regular holonomic, we get the result. □

Remark 5.3. *By the classification of irreducible perverse sheaves [BBD82], if the solution space of a holonomic $\mathcal{D}$–module $\mathcal{M}$ has reducible monodromy representation then the perverse sheaf $\mathbb{R}Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O})$ is reducible.*

Corollary 5.4. *If there are no more than d columns of A in any facet of Γ_A and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is nonresonant, then the global monodromy representation of the solution space of $M_A(\beta)$ is a direct sum of one–dimensional monodromy invariant subespaces.*

Proof. To begin with, Γ_A is in this case a regular triangulation of A and the singular locus of $M_A(\beta)$ is equal to $\prod_{i\in \mathrm{vert}(\Gamma_A)} x_i = 0$ where $\mathrm{vert}(\Gamma_A)$ denote the set of vertices of Γ_A. Thus, the fundamental group of the complement of the singular locus is the free group generated by one loop around $x_i = 0$ for each $i \in \mathrm{vert}(\Gamma_A)$. Moreover, for very generic $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ the set of Γ–series given in Theorem 3.1 for $T = \Gamma_A$ is a basis of (multivalued) holomorphic functions in $U_T(R) \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ and the $\mathbb{C}$-linear space generated by each Γ-series is monodromy invariant. Thus, from the proof of [AET15, Corollary 3.3.] we get the result for nonresonant $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$. □

References

[Ado94] A. Adolphson. *A-hypergeometric functions and rings generated by monomials.* Duke Math. J. 73 (1994), 269–290.

[AET15] K. Ando, A. Esterov, K. Takeuchi. *Monodromies at infinity of confluent A-hypergeometric functions.* Adv. Math. 272 (2015), 1–19.

[BBD82] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, in Analysis and Topology on Singular Spaces I (Luminy, 1981), Astérisque, Vol. 100, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1982, 5–171.

[BMW15] Ch. Berkesch, L. Matusevich, U. Walther. *Singularities and holonomicity of binomial D-modules.* Journal of Algebra, 439 (2015), 360–372.

[Beu11] F. Beukers. *Irreducibility of A-hypergeometric systems*. Indag. Math. (N.S.) 21 (2011), no. 1–2, 30–39.

[Beu14] F. Beukers. *Monodromy of A-hypergeometric functions*. J. reine angew. Math. (Crelles Journal). DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2014-0054, July 2014.

[ET15] A. Esterov, K. Takeuchi. *Confluent A-hypergeometric functions and rapid decay homology cycles*. Amer. J. Math. 137 (2015), no. 2, 365–409.

[Fer10] M.-C. Fernández-Fernández. *Irregular hypergeometric D-modules*. Adv. Math. 224 (2010), no. 5, 1735–1764.

[FW11] M.-C. Fernández-Fernández, U. Walther. *Restriction of hypergeometric D-modules with respect to coordinate subspaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), no. 9, 3175–3180.

[DMM12] A. Dickenstein, F. Martínez, L. Matusevich. *Nilsson solutions for irregular A-hypergeometric systems*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 28 (2012), no. 3, 723–758.

[GGZ87] I. M. Gel'fand, M. I. Graev, A. V. Zelevinsky, *Holonomic systems of equations and series of hypergeometric type*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 295 (1987), no. 1, 14-19.

[GKZ89] I.M. Gel'fand, A.V. Zelevinsky, M.M. Kapranov, *Hypergeometric functions and toral manifolds*. Funktional Anal., 23 (1989), 2, 12-26.

[GKZ90] I.M. Gel'fand, M.M. Kapranov, A.V. Zelevinsky, *Generalized Euler integrals and A-hypergeometric functions*, Adv. Math. 84 (1990), 255-271.

[GKZ94] I.M. Gel'fand, M.M. Kapranov, A.V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, Resultants and Multidimensional Determinants.* Mathematics: Theory and Applications. Birkhäuser (1994).

[Hot98] R. Hotta. *Equivariant $\mathcal{D}$-modules*. `arXiv.org (1998), no. RT/9805021`.

[Kas70] M. Kashiwara. *Algebraic study of systems of partial differential equations*. Mémoires, vol. 63, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1995, English translation of the Master thesis, Tokyo, 1970.

[Kas84] M. Kashiwara. *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. , 20 (1984) pp. 319–365.

[MMW05] L. F. Matusevich, E. Miller, U. Walther. *Homological methods for hypergeometric families*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (2005), 4, p.919-941.

[Meb84] Z. Mebkhout. *Une équivalence de catégories.* Compositio Math. 51 (1984), no. 1, 51–62, and *Une autre équivalence de catégories.* Compositio Math. 51 (1984), no. 1, 63–88.

[OT09] K. Ohara, N. Takayama. *Holonomic rank of A-hypergeometric differential-difference equations.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 213 (2009), no. 8, 1536–1544.

[RSW15] T. Reichelt, Ch. Sevenheck, U. Walther. *On the b-functions of hypergeometric systems.* arXiv:1511.03327 [math.AG].

[ST13] T. Sadykov, S. Tanabé. *Maximally reducible monodromy of bivariate hypergeometric systems.* arXiv:1309.1564 [math.CV].

[Sai11] M. Saito. *Irreducible quotients of A-hypergeometric systems.* Compositio Math. 147 (2011), 613–632.

[SST00] M. Saito, B. Sturmfels, N. Takayama. *Gröbner Deformations of Hypergeometric Differential Equations.* Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics 6. Springer (2000).

[SW08] M. Schulze, U. Walther. *Irregularity of hypergeometric systems via slopes along coordinate subspaces.* Duke Mathematical Journal 142, 3 (2008), 465-509.

[SW12] M. Schulze, U. Walther. *Resonance equals reducibility for A-hypergeometric systems.* Algebra Number Theory 6 (2012), no. 3, 527–537.

[Stu95] B. Sturmfels. *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes.* University Lecture Notes, Vol. 8. (1995) American Mathematical Society, Providence.

[Tak10] K. Takeuchi, *Monodromy at infinity of A-hypergeometric functions and toric compactifications*, Math. Ann. 348 (2010) 815–831.

[Wal07] U. Walther, *Duality and monodromy reducibility of A-hypergeometric systems*, Math. Ann. 338 (2007), no. 1, 55–74.

E-mail address: `mcferfer@us.es`
Departamento de Álgebra and Instituto Universitario de la Universidad de Sevilla (IMUS), Universidad de Sevilla.